



The Maharashtra Law Journal®

ENGLISH LEGAL MONTHLY JOURNAL

Vol. 6


2024(6)

Issue No. 1

Reports 1 to 400

[Mode of Citation 2024(6) Mh.L.J.]

Renewal Notice for 2025
Please see inside for details



1 FULL BENCH JUDGMENT IN THIS ISSUE

[See 2024(6) Mh.L.J. (F.B.) 343]

EDITORIAL BOARD

Abhay Chandurkar
Advocate, Nagpur

Shri Venkatesh R. Tamba
Advocate, Goa

Shri Onkar Chandurkar
Advocate, Mumbai

Annual Subscription for 2025 in 6 Vols. ₹ 4,800/- (By B. P.) ₹ 5,100/- (By Regd. Post)
Price of this issue — ₹ 480/-

Complaint of non-receipt of issue will be considered if complaint is received
within three months from the date of despatch.



NOMINAL INDEX — NOVEMBER 2024

Anand s/o Govindrao Nagargoje vs. State of Maharashtra	168
Anjlli Patil alias Anjli Gaurav Sharma vs. Bajaj Allianz Life Insurance Company Ltd.	379
Ashok Mallinath Halsangi vs. State of Maharashtra	156
Avantikabai Shankar Shinde vs. Pratap s/o Gunderao Jadhav	70
Bar of Indian Lawyers through its President Jasbir Singh Malik vs. D. K. Gandhi PS National Institute of Communicable Diseases	(S.C.) 13
Chief Officer, Pen Municipal Council vs. Shekhar B. Abhang	105
Dattatraya Mahadev Ugale vs. State of Maharashtra	82
Digambar s/o Ramdas Thakre vs. State of Maharashtra	280
Dr. Samata Wamanrao Warudkar vs. State of Maharashtra	312
Fulchand s/o Shankar Pawar @ Fulchand s/o Lalu Jadhav vs. State of Maharashtra	297
Harpritsingh Bhupindersingh Hora vs. State of Maharashtra	(F.B.) 343
Himalay Manohar Patil vs. State of Maharashtra	309
Huhtamaki India Ltd. vs. State of Maharashtra	366
Indian Hotels Company Ltd., Mumbai vs. Antonio Floriano Fernandes (Since Deceased)	52
Kamladevi Raychand Shah vs. Bhupendra Yashwant Ajinkya	63
Laleh Ardeshir Dubhash (Miss) vs. Swaraj Shrikumar Hate	272
Life Insurance Corporation of India, Nanded vs. Mohd. Sikander Mohd. Maulana	242
Mukatlal vs. Kailash Chand (D) through L.Rs.	(S.C.) 1
Namdeo s/o Gangaram Dhawas vs. Western Coal Fields Ltd., Nagpur	291
Nijam Maheebub Shaikh vs. Chief Executive Officer	197
Prasad Dattajirao Patil vs. Chaudhary Construction Company	176
Prithvi Infra Projects vs. Apex Grievance Redressal Committee	263
Ramchandra Namdeo Chonde vs. State of Maharashtra	338
Salim Khan Mahemood Khan Pathan vs. State of Maharashtra	46
Shaikh Ibrahim Shaikh Mohamad Hanifsaheb (since deceased) through his Legal Heirs Jakiya Ibrahim Shaikh vs. Mohamudkhan Kadar Khan Pathan (since deceased) through his Heirs and L.Rs.	393
Shaikh Masud Ismail Shaikh vs. Union of India	206
Shantiben Babarbai Patel vs. Geeta Prabhu Patel	96
Shivaji Nagar Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Nagpur vs. State of Maharashtra	358

Shriram Food Industry Ltd. vs. Union of India	136
Shubham Sunil Pore vs. Union of India	119
Siddhartha Sudhir Moravekar vs. Serious Fraud Investigation Office (SFIO), Mumbai	42
State of Maharashtra vs. Chandrakant Dhondiram Gurav	170
State of Maharashtra vs. Digambar Manik Kalyankar	323
State of Maharashtra vs. Taramati Santosh Taji	201
Sudha Rajendra Mahajan vs. Vikas Narayan Patil	385
Surekha Luxman Sonovane vs. State of Maharashtra	374
Suvarna Netaji Patil vs. Smita Ashok Patil	122
Telotin Domingo Cabo vs. State of Goa	247
Vidya Rajaram Bandiwadekar vs. State of Maharashtra	191
Vincent Fernandes vs. State of Goa	331
Walmik Popat Patil vs. Government of India	185

REPORTS INDEX — NOVEMBER 2024

Advocates Act (25 of 1961), S. 2(1)(a) and (i) — *Difference between Advocate and Legal Practitioner — Advocate is included in definition of “Legal Practitioner” but legal practitioner is not included in definition of “Advocate” — Advocate is one who has been entered in any roll under provisions of Act — Act was enacted to amend and consolidate law relating to legal practitioners and to provide for constitution of Bar Councils and an All-India Bar.* [Bar of Indian Lawyers through its President Jasbir Singh Malik vs. D. K. Gandhi PS National Institute of Communicable Diseases] (S.C.) 13

Arbitration and Conciliation Act (26 of 1996), S. 11 — *See National Highways Act (48 of 1956), S. 3-G(5).* [Walmik Popat Patil vs. Government of India] 185

Bombay Rents, Hotel and Lodging House Rates Control Act (57 of 1947), SS. 11, 12(3)(a) and (b) — *Standard rent — Application for fixation of standard rent not filed within prescribed time — Evidence shows that defendant neither paid rent nor had intention to pay same either before receipt of notices or after such notices or during pendency of suit — Defendant has failed to deposit ‘whole rent’ — Payment of municipal taxes by defendant not sufficient to save him from consequences of eviction — Decree of eviction passed — Once defendant is found to be in arrears of rent and is liable to be evicted, no purpose would be served in deciding application for fixation of standard rent.* [Shaikh Ibrahim Shaikh Mohamad Hanifsaheb (since deceased) through his Legal Heirs Jakiya Ibrahim Shaikh vs. Mohamudkhan Kadar Khan Pathan (since deceased) through his Heirs and L.Rs.] 393

Bombay Rents, Hotel and Lodging House Rates Control Act (57 of 1947), S. 12(3)(a) — *Suit for recovery of possession and arrears of rent — Defendant was well aware of position that rent in respect of suit premises was to be paid to plaintiff-*